arXiv:1504.03015v2 [math.SP] 12 Oct 2016

DISPERSION ESTIMATES FOR SPHERICAL SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS

ALEKSEY KOSTENKO, GERALD TESCHL, AND JULIO H. TOLOZA

Abstract. We derive a dispersion estimate for one-dimensional perturbed radial Schrödinger operators. We also derive several new estimates for solutions of the underlying differential equation and investigate the behavior of the Jost function near the edge of the continuous spectrum.

1. Introduction

We are concerned with the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation

$$\mathrm{i}\dot{\psi}(t,x) = H\psi(t,x), \quad H := -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{x^2} + q(x), \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}_+, \tag{1.1}$$

with real integrable potential q and with the angular momentum $l > -\frac{1}{2}$. We will use τ to describe the formal Sturm–Liouville differential expression and H the self-adjoint operator acting in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and given by τ together with the usual boundary condition at $x = 0$:

$$\lim_{x\to 0} x^l\big((l+1)f(x) - xf'(x)\big) = 0, \qquad l \in \Big(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\Big). \tag{1.2}$$

More specifically, our goal is to provide dispersive decay estimates for these equations. To this end we recall (e.g., [27, Sect. 9.7]) that for $\int_0^\infty x|q(x)|dx < \infty$ the operator H has a purely absolutely continuous spectrum on $(0,\infty)$ plus a finite number of eigenvalues in $(-\infty, 0]$. At the edge of the continuous spectrum there could be a resonance (or an eigenvalue if $l > \frac{1}{2}$). Various equivalent definitions of what is meant by a resonance in this setting will be given in Lemma 2.15. Then our main result read as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that*

$$\int_0^1 |q(x)|dx < \infty \quad \textit{and} \quad \int_1^\infty x^{\max(2,l+1)}|q(x)|dx < \infty, \tag{1.3}$$

and suppose there is neither a resonance nor an eigenvalue at 0. *Then the following decay holds*

$$\big\|\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}P_c(H)\big\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)\to L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)} = \mathcal{O}(|t|^{-1/2}), \quad t\to\infty. \tag{1.4}$$

Here $P_c(H)$ *is the orthogonal projection in* $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ *onto the continuous spectrum of* H.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35Q41, 34L25; Secondary 81U30, 81Q15.

Key words and phrases. Schrödinger equation, dispersive estimates, scattering.

Ann. Henri Poincaré **17**, 3147–3176 (2016).

Research supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) under Grants No. Y330, P26060 and by the National Scientific and Technical Research Council (CONICET, Argentina).

This result will follow from the corresponding low energy result Theorem 3.2 (see also Theorem 3.1) with the high energy result Theorem 3.3. We also remark that the decay rate is optimal (see below).

On the whole line such results have a long tradition and we refer to Weder [29], Goldberg and Schlag [14], Egorova, Kopylova, Marchenko and Teschl [10] (for the discrete case see [9]) as well as the reviews [17, 25]. On the half line the case $l = 0$ was treated by Weder [30]. The case of general l but without potential was recently considered in Kovařík and Truc [22] (see also [12, 13] for related results). While our overall strategy looks quite similar to the classical case $l = 0$, the details are much more delicate at several points: the first problem stems from the fact that only one solution will be bounded near $x = 0$ while the other one will have a singularity if $l > 0$. In particular, in this case the Jost solutions will have a singularity near $x = 0$ and the expression of the regular solution (which is in the domain of our operator near $x = 0$) in terms of the Jost solutions (i.e., the scattering relations) can no longer be used to obtain useful estimates. The second problem is that the simple group structure of the exponential functions breaks down for Bessel functions which requires novel strategies to handle the Born series expansion of the resolvent. And of course one has to work much harder to get some estimates, which are trivial for trigonometric functions, for Bessel functions. In particular, our present paper should also be understood as a contribution to understanding the properties of solutions of the underlying spectral problem. In this respect we would like to emphasize that the behavior of the Jost function near the bottom of the essential spectrum is still not understood satisfactorily, and for this very reason the resonant case had to be excluded from our main theorem. This is definitely a gap which should be filled.

As already mentioned, we have restricted ourselves to the boundary condition (1.2) corresponding to the Friedrichs extension for $-\frac{1}{2} < l < \frac{1}{2}$. We will investigate the effect of other boundary conditions (including the case of (1.1)–(1.2) with $l \in (-\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$, considered in [2]) in a forthcoming work [15]. For the remaining missing case $l = -\frac{1}{2}$ on the other hand we do expect Theorem 1.1 hold true but, due to the logarithmic part of the second solution of the Bessel equation, proofs would be significantly more involved so the treatment of this case has been omitted.

Finally, we mention that one of the motivation to study (1.1) is the fact that it arises naturally when discussing the n-dimensional Schrödinger equation

$$\mathrm{i}\dot{\Psi}(t,\mathbf{x}) = H_n \Psi(t,\mathbf{x}), \quad H_n := -\Delta + V(\mathbf{x}), \quad (t,\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n, \quad n \geq 2. \qquad (1.5)$$

However, it is important to emphasize that this is not the only motivation since operators of the type in (1.1) are the prototypical example of strongly singular Schrödinger operators which have attracted considerable interest recently (see e.g. [18, 19, 20, 21] and the references therein) or as examples in other physical and mathematical models (see e.g. [2, 7]). Nevertheless, and since a lot is known about dispersive estimates for (1.5) (see the reviews [17, 25] already mentioned above), it seems worth while to discuss what these estimates imply for (1.1).

To this end recall (see e.g. Example 1.5 in [31]) that if $V(\mathbf{x}) = q(x)$, $x = |\mathbf{x}|$, is radially symmetric, then H_n will be reduced by the spherical harmonics (cf. [23])

$$Y_l^m : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{C}, \qquad l \in \mathbb{N}_0,\ m = 1,\dots,N(n,l),$$

which are an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions of the Laplace–Beltrami operator $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$,

$$-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} Y_l^m = l(l+n-2) Y_l^m,$$

on $L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$.[1] Then the subspaces

$$\mathfrak{H}_{l,m} = \Big\{ \Psi(\mathbf{x}) = x^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \psi(x) Y_l^m\Big(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{x}\Big) \,\Big|\, x = |\mathbf{x}|,\, \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \Big\} \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

span $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) = \bigoplus_{l,m} \mathfrak{H}_{l,m}$ and give rise to the decomposition

$$H_n = \bigoplus_{l,m} U_n^{-1} H_{n,l} U_n, \qquad U_n : \mathfrak{H}_{l,m} \to L^2(\mathbb{R}_+),\ \ x^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \psi(x) Y_l^m\Big(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{x}\Big) \mapsto \psi(x),$$

where

$$H_{n,l} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{l(l+n-2) + \frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{4}}{x^2} + q(x).$$

In particular, an estimate of the type

$$\big\|\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH_n} P_c(H_n)\big\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)\to L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \mathcal{O}(|t|^{-n/2}), \tag{1.6}$$

implies

$$\big\|\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH_{n,l}} P_c(H_{n,l})\big\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+;x^{\frac{n-1}{2}})\to L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+;x^{-\frac{n-1}{2}})} = \mathcal{O}(|t|^{-n/2}).$$

Here $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+;x^\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ denotes the standard L^2 space with the weight x^α. In the special case $l = 0$ we get

$$H_{n,0} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{s(s-1)}{4x^2} + q(x), \quad s = \frac{n-1}{2},$$

and hence

$$\big\|\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH_{n,0}} P_c(H_{n,0})\big\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+;x^s)\to L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+;x^{-s})} = \mathcal{O}(|t|^{-s-1/2}),$$

which generalizes Theorem 2.4 from [22] where the case without potential and with the weight $(1+x)^s$ was established. For conditions on V for (1.6) to hold we refer again to the above mentioned survey articles [17, 25]. At this point we only note that it of course holds in the case without potential where the time evolution is given by

$$\big(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\Delta t}\Psi_0\big)(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(4\pi \mathrm{i}t)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\frac{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|^2}{4t}} \Psi_0(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}.$$

Moreover, the time evolution of $H_{n,l}$ can be obtained by projecting $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\Delta t}$ to the corresponding spherical harmonics. For example, in three dimensions one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH_{3,l}}](x,y) &= \frac{xy\, Y_l^0(0,0)^{-1}}{(4\pi\mathrm{i}t)^{3/2}} \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\frac{x^2-2xy\cos(\theta)+y^2}{4t}} Y_l^0(\theta,\varphi)\sin(\theta) d\theta\, d\varphi\\
&= \frac{2\pi xy}{(4\pi\mathrm{i}t)^{3/2}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\frac{x^2+y^2}{4t}} \int_{-1}^1 \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\frac{xy}{2t}r} P_l(r) dr\\
&= \frac{\mathrm{i}^{-l-1/2}}{2\mathrm{i}t} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\frac{x^2+y^2}{4t}} \sqrt{xy} J_{l+1/2}\Big(\frac{xy}{2t}\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have chosen $m = 0$, $\mathbf{x} = (0,0,x)$ and used

$$Y_l^0(\theta,\varphi) = \sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{4\pi}} P_l(\cos(\theta)), \quad P_l(\xi) = \frac{1}{2^l l!}\frac{d^l}{d\xi^l}(\xi^2-1)^l,$$

as well as [24, (18.17.19)] for the last integral. Here J_ν is the Bessel function of order ν and P_l are the Legendre polynomials. This should again be compared with [20, Eq. (3.23)]. In particular, for $l = 0$ we have $\|[\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH_{3,0}}](x,y)\|_\infty = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}|t|^{1/2}}$

---

[1] The l used here is different from the l in (1.1) and the rest of the paper unless $n = 3$.

(while $\|(xy)^{-1}[\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH_{3,0}}](x,y)\|_\infty = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}|t|^{3/2}}$), which shows that the decay in our main Theorem 1.1 is optimal. Of course a potential of the type $V(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{a}{|\mathbf{x}|^2}$ with $a > -\frac{(n-2)^2}{4}$ could be included in this discussion as it can be absorbed in the definition of s [3, 4].

2. Properties of solutions

In this section we will collect some properties of the solutions of the underlying differential equation required for our main results.

2.1. **The regular solution.** Suppose that $l > -\frac{1}{2}$ and

$$q \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^1 x|q(x)|dx < \infty. \tag{2.1}$$

Then the ordinary differential equation

$$\tau f = zf, \qquad \tau := -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{x^2} + q(x),$$

has a system of solutions $\phi(z,x)$ and $\theta(z,x)$ which is real entire with respect to z such that

$$\phi(z,x) = C_l x^{l+1}\tilde{\phi}(z,x), \quad \theta(z,x) = \frac{x^{-l}}{(2l+1)C_l}\tilde{\theta}(z,x), \quad C_l = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(l+\frac{3}{2})2^{l+1}}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\tilde{\phi}(z,\cdot), \tilde{\theta}(z,\cdot) \in W^{1,1}[0,1]$ and $\tilde{\phi}(z,0) = \tilde{\theta}(z,0) = 1$. For a detailed construction of these solutions we refer to, e.g., [20].

We start with two lemmas containing estimates for the Green's function of the unperturbed equation

$$G_l(z,x,y) = \phi_l(z,x)\theta_l(z,y) - \phi_l(z,y)\theta_l(z,x)$$

and the regular solution $\phi(z,x)$ (see, e.g., [18, Lemmas 2.2, A.1, and A.2]). Here

$$\phi_l(z,x) = z^{-\frac{2l+1}{4}}\sqrt{\frac{\pi x}{2}}J_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\sqrt{z}x),$$

and

$$\theta_l(z,x) = z^{\frac{2l+1}{4}}\sqrt{\frac{\pi x}{2}}\begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\sin((l+\frac{1}{2})\pi)}J_{-l-\frac{1}{2}}(\sqrt{z}x), & l+\dfrac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{R}_+\setminus\mathbb{N}_0, \\ \dfrac{1}{\pi}\log(z)J_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\sqrt{z}x) - Y_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\sqrt{z}x), & l+\dfrac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{N}_0, \end{cases}$$

where J_ν and Y_ν are the usual Bessel and Neumann functions (see Appendix B). All branch cuts are chosen along the negative real axis unless explicitly stated otherwise.

Lemma 2.1 ([18])**.** *For $l > -\frac{1}{2}$ the following estimates hold:*

$$\left|\phi_l(k^2,x)\right| \le C\left(\frac{x}{1+|k|\,x}\right)^{l+1}\mathrm{e}^{|\mathrm{Im}\,k|x}, \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\left|G_l(k^2,x,y)\right| \le C\left(\frac{x}{1+|k|\,x}\right)^{l+1}\left(\frac{1+|k|\,y}{y}\right)^{l}\mathrm{e}^{|\mathrm{Im}\,k|(x-y)}, \quad y \le x. \tag{2.4}$$

Lemma 2.2 ([18])**.** *Assume* (2.1)*. Then $\phi(z,x)$ satisfies the integral equation*

$$\phi(z,x) = \phi_l(z,x) + \int_0^x G_l(z,x,y) q(y) \phi(z,y) dy.$$

Moreover, ϕ is entire in z for every $x > 0$ and satisfies the estimate

$$\left|\phi(k^2,x) - \phi_l(k^2,x)\right| \le C \left(\frac{x}{1+|k|\,x}\right)^{l+1} \mathrm{e}^{|\mathrm{Im}\, k| x} \int_0^x \frac{y\,|q(y)|}{1+|k|\,y} dy. \tag{2.5}$$

We also need the following estimates.

Lemma 2.3. *For $l > -\frac{1}{2}$ the following estimates hold*

$$|\partial_k \phi_l(k^2,x)| \le C|k|x \left(\frac{x}{1+|k|\,x}\right)^{l+2} \mathrm{e}^{|\mathrm{Im}\, k| x} \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\left|\partial_k G_l(k^2,x,y)\right| \le C|k|x \left(\frac{x}{1+|k|x}\right)^{l+2} \left(\frac{1+|k|y}{y}\right)^{l} \mathrm{e}^{|\mathrm{Im}\, k|(x-y)}, \quad y \le x. \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. The first inequality follows from the identity (see (B.8))

$$\partial_k \phi_l(k^2,x) = -kx\, \phi_{l+1}(k^2,x)$$

along with the bound (2.3).

Before proving (2.7), let us mention that

$$\begin{aligned} G_l(k^2,x,y) &= -\frac{\pi}{2}\sqrt{xy}\left[J_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(kx)Y_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(ky) - J_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(ky)Y_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(kx)\right], \\ &= -\frac{\mathrm{i}\pi}{4}\sqrt{xy}\left[H^{(1)}_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(kx)H^{(2)}_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(ky) - H^{(1)}_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(ky)H^{(2)}_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(kx)\right], \end{aligned}$$

where $H^{(1)}_\nu$ and $H^{(2)}_\nu$ are the usual Hankel functions (see Appendix B). Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_k G_l(k^2,x,y) &= \frac{\pi}{2}\sqrt{xy}\left[xJ_{l+\frac{3}{2}}(kx)Y_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(ky) - yJ_{l+\frac{3}{2}}(ky)Y_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(kx)\right] \\ &\quad - \frac{\pi}{2}\sqrt{xy}\left[yJ_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(kx)Y_{l-\frac{1}{2}}(ky) - xJ_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(ky)Y_{l-\frac{1}{2}}(kx)\right], \\ &= \frac{\mathrm{i}\pi}{4}\sqrt{xy}\left[xH^{(1)}_{l+\frac{3}{2}}(kx)H^{(2)}_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(ky) - yH^{(1)}_{l+\frac{3}{2}}(ky)H^{(2)}_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(kx)\right] \\ &\quad - \frac{\mathrm{i}\pi}{4}\sqrt{xy}\left[yH^{(1)}_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(kx)H^{(2)}_{l-\frac{1}{2}}(ky) - xH^{(1)}_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(ky)H^{(2)}_{l-\frac{1}{2}}(kx)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Consider the function

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_l(\eta,\xi) &:= \frac{\pi}{2}\big[\eta J_{l+\frac{3}{2}}(\eta)Y_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\xi) - \xi J_{l+\frac{3}{2}}(\xi)Y_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\eta) \\ &\qquad - \xi J_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\eta)Y_{l-\frac{1}{2}}(\xi) + \eta J_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\xi)Y_{l-\frac{1}{2}}(\eta)\big] \\ &= \frac{\mathrm{i}\pi}{4}\Big[\eta H^{(1)}_{l+\frac{3}{2}}(\eta)H^{(2)}_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\xi) - \xi H^{(1)}_{l+\frac{3}{2}}(\xi)H^{(2)}_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\eta) \\ &\qquad - \xi H^{(1)}_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\eta)H^{(2)}_{l-\frac{1}{2}}(\xi) + \eta H^{(1)}_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\xi)H^{(2)}_{l-\frac{1}{2}}(\eta)\Big]. \end{aligned}$$

Step (i): $|\xi| \le |\eta| \le 1$. Let us estimate the function

$$\eta J_{l+\frac{3}{2}}(\eta)Y_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\xi) - \xi J_{l+\frac{3}{2}}(\xi)Y_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\eta).$$

Employing [24, (10.2.2)] and the monotonicity of $x \mapsto \frac{x}{1+x}$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\eta J_{l+\frac{3}{2}}(\eta) Y_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\xi) - \xi J_{l+\frac{3}{2}}(\xi) Y_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\eta)\right| \\
&\qquad \le C\left[|\eta| \left(\frac{|\eta|}{1+|\eta|}\right)^{l+\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{1+|\xi|}{|\xi|}\right)^{l+\frac{1}{2}} + |\xi| \left(\frac{|\xi|}{1+|\xi|}\right)^{l+\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{1+|\eta|}{|\eta|}\right)^{l+\frac{1}{2}}\right] \\
&\qquad \le C|\eta| \left(\frac{|\eta|}{1+|\eta|}\right)^{l+\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{1+|\xi|}{|\xi|}\right)^{l+\frac{1}{2}} \le C \left(\frac{|\eta|}{1+|\eta|}\right)^{l+\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{1+|\xi|}{|\xi|}\right)^{l+\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $l > 1/2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\xi J_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\eta) Y_{l-\frac{1}{2}}(\xi) - \eta J_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\xi) Y_{l-\frac{1}{2}}(\eta)\right| \\
&\qquad \le C\left[|\xi| \left(\frac{|\eta|}{1+|\eta|}\right)^{l+\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1+|\xi|}{|\xi|}\right)^{l-\frac{1}{2}} + |\eta| \left(\frac{|\xi|}{1+|\xi|}\right)^{l+\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1+|\eta|}{|\eta|}\right)^{l-\frac{1}{2}}\right] \\
&\qquad \le C|\eta| \left(\frac{|\eta|}{1+|\eta|}\right)^{l+\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1+|\xi|}{|\xi|}\right)^{l-\frac{1}{2}} \le C|\eta| \left(\frac{|\eta|}{1+|\eta|}\right)^{l+\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{1+|\xi|}{|\xi|}\right)^{l+\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $|l| < 1/2$, then using (B.1) and (B.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\xi J_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\eta) Y_{l-\frac{1}{2}}(\xi) - \eta J_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\xi) Y_{l-\frac{1}{2}}(\eta)\right| \\
&\qquad \le C\left[|\xi| \left(\frac{|\eta|}{1+|\eta|}\right)^{l+\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{|\xi|}{1+|\xi|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-l} + |\eta| \left(\frac{|\xi|}{1+|\xi|}\right)^{l+\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{|\eta|}{1+|\eta|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-l}\right] \\
&\qquad \le C|\eta| \left(\frac{|\eta|}{1+|\eta|}\right)^{l+\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{1+|\xi|}{|\xi|}\right)^{l+\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, for $l = 1/2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\xi J_1(\eta) Y_0(\xi) - \eta J_1(\xi) Y_0(\eta)| &\le C \frac{|\eta|}{1+|\eta|} \frac{|\xi|}{1+|\xi|} \log\left(\frac{|\eta|}{|\xi|}\right) \\
&\le C|\eta| \left(\frac{|\eta|}{1+|\eta|}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1+|\xi|}{|\xi|}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Summarizing the above, we find that the function $\mathcal{G}_l$ admits the following estimate

$$|\mathcal{G}_l(\eta,\xi)| \le C|\eta| \left(\frac{|\eta|}{1+|\eta|}\right)^{l+\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{1+|\xi|}{|\xi|}\right)^{l+\frac{1}{2}}$$

if $0 < |\xi| \le |\eta| \le 1$ and $l > -1/2$.

Step (ii): $|\xi| \le 1 \le |\eta|$. First, we get

$$\left|\eta J_{l+\frac{3}{2}}(\eta) Y_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\xi) - \xi J_{l+\frac{3}{2}}(\xi) Y_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\eta)\right| \le C\sqrt{|\eta|} \left(\frac{1+|\xi|}{|\xi|}\right)^{l+\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{|\mathrm{Im}\,\eta|}$$

as implied by (B.4) and (B.5). If $l > 1/2$, we get

$$\left|\xi J_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\eta) Y_{l-\frac{1}{2}}(\xi) - \eta J_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\xi) Y_{l-\frac{1}{2}}(\eta)\right| \le C\sqrt{|\eta|} \left(\frac{1+|\xi|}{|\xi|}\right)^{l+\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{|\mathrm{Im}\,\eta|}.$$

For $|l| < 1/2$ we obtain

$$\left|\xi J_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\eta)Y_{l-\frac{1}{2}}(\xi) - \eta J_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\xi)Y_{l-\frac{1}{2}}(\eta)\right| \le C\sqrt{|\eta|}\left(\frac{|\xi|}{1+|\xi|}\right)^{l+\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{|\mathrm{Im}\,\eta|}.$$

And finally, for $l = 1/2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}|\xi J_1(\eta)Y_0(\xi) - \eta J_1(\xi)Y_0(\eta)| &\le C\sqrt{|\eta|}\frac{|\xi|}{1+|\xi|}\log\left(\frac{1+|\xi|}{|\xi|}\right)\mathrm{e}^{|\mathrm{Im}\,\eta|}\\ &\le C\sqrt{|\eta|}\frac{1+|\xi|}{|\xi|}\mathrm{e}^{|\mathrm{Im}\,\eta|}.\end{aligned}$$

Summarizing the above, we find that the function $\mathcal{G}_l$ admits the following estimate

$$|\mathcal{G}_l(\eta,\xi)| \le C\sqrt{|\eta|}\left(\frac{1+|\xi|}{|\xi|}\right)^{l+\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{|\mathrm{Im}\,\eta|}$$

if $0 < |\xi| \le 1 \le |\eta|$ and $l > -1/2$.

Step (iii): $1 \le |\xi| \le |\eta|$. To deal with the remaining case we shall use the second equality in (2.8) and the asymptotic expansions of Hankel functions (B.6)–(B.7):

$$|\mathcal{G}_l(\eta,\xi)| \sim 2\cos(\eta-\xi)\left(\sqrt{\frac{\eta}{\xi}} - \sqrt{\frac{\xi}{\eta}}\right) \tag{2.9}$$

as $|\eta|$, $|\xi| \to \infty$. Therefore, we get

$$|\mathcal{G}_l(\eta,\xi)| \le C\sqrt{\frac{|\eta|}{|\xi|}}\mathrm{e}^{|\mathrm{Im}(\eta-\xi)|}$$

if $1 \le |\xi| \le |\eta|$ and $l > -1/2$.

Combining all these estimates for the function $\mathcal{G}_l$ with the equality

$$G_l(k^2,x,y) = \frac{\sqrt{xy}}{k}\mathcal{G}_l(kx,ky),$$

after straightforward calculations we arrive at (2.7). □

Lemma 2.4. *Assume* (2.1). *Then* $\partial_k\phi(k^2,x)$ *is a solution to the integral equation*

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_k\phi(k^2,x) &= \partial_k\phi_l(k^2,x)\\ &\quad+\int_0^x [\partial_k G_l(k^2,x,y)]\phi(k^2,y) + G_l(k^2,x,y)\partial_k\phi(k^2,y)]q(y)dy\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

and satisfies the estimate

$$|\partial_k\phi(k^2,x) - \partial_k\phi_l(k^2,x)| \le C|k|x\left(\frac{x}{1+|k|\,x}\right)^{l+2}\mathrm{e}^{|\mathrm{Im}\,k|x}\int_0^x \frac{y\,|q(y)|}{1+|k|\,y}dy. \tag{2.11}$$

Proof. The proof is based on the successive iteration procedure (see, e.g., [5, Chap. I.5]). As in the proof of Lemma 2.2 in [18], set

$$\phi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\phi_n, \quad \phi_0 := \phi_l, \quad \phi_n(k^2,x) := \int_0^x G_l(k^2,x,y)\phi_{n-1}(k^2,y)q(y)dy$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The series is absolutely convergent since

$$\left|\phi_n(k^2,x)\right| \le \frac{C^{n+1}}{n!}\left(\frac{x}{1+|k|x}\right)^{l+1}\mathrm{e}^{|\mathrm{Im}\,k|x}\left(\int_0^x \frac{y\,|q(y)|}{1+|k|y}dy\right)^n. \tag{2.12}$$

Similarly, let us show that $\partial_k\phi(k^2,x)$ given by

$$\partial_k\phi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\beta_n, \quad \beta_0(k,x) := \partial_k\phi_l(k^2,x), \tag{2.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_n(k,x) := \int_0^x & \partial_k G_l(k^2,x,y)\,\phi_{n-1}(k^2,y)q(y)dy \\ & + \int_0^x G_l(k^2,x,y)\beta_{n-1}(k,y)q(y)dy, \quad n\in\mathbb{N}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

satisfies (2.10). Using (2.12) and (2.6), we can bound the first summand in (2.14) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{1st term}| &\le \frac{C^{n+1}}{(n-1)!}|k|x\left(\frac{x}{1+|k|x}\right)^{l+2}\mathrm{e}^{|\mathrm{Im}\,k|x}\int_0^x \frac{y\,|q(y)|}{1+|k|y}\left(\int_0^y \frac{t\,|q(t)|}{1+|k|t}dt\right)^{n-1}dy \\ &\le \frac{C^{n+1}}{n!}|k|x\left(\frac{x}{1+|k|x}\right)^{l+2}\mathrm{e}^{|\mathrm{Im}\,k|x}\left(\int_0^x \frac{y|q(y)|}{1+|k|y}dy\right)^{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, using induction, one can show that the second summand admits a similar bound and hence we finally get

$$|\beta_n(k,x)| \le \frac{C^{n+1}}{n!}|k|x\left(\frac{x}{1+|k|x}\right)^{l+2}\mathrm{e}^{|\mathrm{Im}\,k|x}\left(\int_0^x \frac{y|q(y)|}{1+|k|y}dy\right)^{n}.$$

This immediately implies the convergence of (2.13) and, moreover, the estimate

$$|\partial_k\phi(k^2,x) - \partial_k\phi_l(k^2,x)| \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}|\beta_n(k,x)|,$$

from which (2.11) follows under the assumption (2.1). □

Furthermore, by [11, 6], the regular solution ϕ admits a representation by means of transformation operators preserving the behavior of solutions at $x=0$ (see also [5, Chap. III] for further details and historical remarks).

Lemma 2.5 ([6]). *Suppose $q\in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}([0,\infty))$. Then*

$$\phi(z,x) = \phi_l(z,x) + \int_0^x B(x,y)\phi_l(z,y)dy =: (I+B)\phi_l(z,x), \tag{2.15}$$

where the so-called Gelfand–Levitan kernel $B:\mathbb{R}^2_+\to\mathbb{R}$ satisfies the estimate

$$|B(x,y)| \le \frac{1}{2}\sigma_0\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)\mathrm{e}^{\sigma_1(x)}, \quad \sigma_j(x) := \int_0^x y^j|q(y)|dy, \tag{2.16}$$

for all $0<y<x$ and $j\in\{0,1\}$.

In particular, this lemma immediately implies the following useful result.

Corollary 2.6. *Suppose $q\in L^1((0,1))$. Then B is a bounded operator on $L^\infty((0,1))$.*

Proof. If $f\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$, then using the estimate (2.16) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |(Bf)(x)| = \Big|\int_0^x B(x,y)f(y)dy\Big| &\le \|f\|_\infty\int_0^x|B(x,y)|dy \\ &\le \frac{1}{2}\|f\|_\infty\mathrm{e}^{\sigma_1(1)}\int_0^x\sigma_0\Big(\frac{x+y}{2}\Big)dy \le \frac{1}{2}\|f\|_\infty\mathrm{e}^{\sigma_1(1)}\sigma_0(1), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the claim. □

Remark 2.7. *Note that B is a bounded operator on $L^2((0,a))$ for all $a>0$. However, the estimate* (2.16) *allows to show that its norm behaves like $\mathcal{O}(a)$ as $a\to\infty$ and hence B might not be bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$.*

2.2. The singular Weyl function.

The singular Weyl function $m:\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{C}$ is defined such that

$$\psi(z,x)=\theta(z,x)+m(z)\phi(z,x),\quad z\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}, \tag{2.17}$$

belongs to $L^2((1,\infty))$. Note that, while the first solution $\phi(z,x)$ is unique under the normalization (2.2), the second solution $\theta(z,x)$ is not, since for any real entire function E the new solution $\tilde\theta(z,x)=\theta(z,x)-E(z)\phi(z,x)$ also satisfies (2.2). Note that the corresponding singular m-function $\tilde m$ is given by

$$\tilde m(z)=m(z)+E(z)$$

in this case. Moreover, it was shown in [19, 20] that the singular m-function (2.17) admits the following integral representation

$$m(z)=\tilde E(z)+(1+z^2)^{\kappa_l}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda-z}-\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda^2}\Big)\frac{d\rho(\lambda)}{(1+\lambda^2)^{\kappa_l}},\quad z\notin\mathbb{R}. \tag{2.18}$$

Here $\kappa_l:=\lfloor\frac{l}{2}+\frac{3}{4}\rfloor$ (with $\lfloor . \rfloor$ the usual floor function), the function $\tilde E$ is real entire, and $\rho:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ is a nondecreasing function satisfying

$$\rho(\lambda)=\frac{\rho(\lambda+)+\rho(\lambda-)}{2},\quad \rho(0)=0,\quad \int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{d\rho(\lambda)}{(1+\lambda^2)^{\kappa_l+1}}<\infty.$$

The operator H is unitarily equivalent to multiplication by the independent variable in $L^2(\mathbb{R},d\rho)$ and thus ρ is called *the spectral function* and $d\rho$ is *the spectral measure*. Indeed, one has $\mathcal{F}:L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)\to L^2(\mathbb{R},d\rho)$ defined via

$$\varphi(x)\mapsto\hat\varphi(\lambda):=\underset{c\to\infty}{\text{l.i.m.}}\int_0^c\phi(\lambda,x)\varphi(x)dx,$$

and its inverse mapping $\mathcal{F}^{-1}:L^2(\mathbb{R},d\rho)\to L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ given by

$$\hat\varphi(\lambda)\mapsto\varphi(x):=\underset{r\to\infty}{\text{l.i.m.}}\int_{-r}^r\phi(\lambda,x)\hat\varphi(\lambda)\rho(d\lambda).$$

Here "l.i.m." denotes the limit in the corresponding L^2-norm. Then, for any Borel function f, one has $\mathcal{F}f(H)\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ equal to multiplication by $f(\lambda)$.

We also remark that the value of κ_l in (2.18) is the best possible one as the following extension of Marchenko's asymptotic formula shows.

Theorem 2.8 ([21])**.** *Suppose that q satisfies* (2.1) *and m is the singular m-function* (2.17)*. Then there is a real entire function E such that in any nonreal sector,*

$$m(z)-E(z)=m_l(z)(1+o(1)),\quad |z|\to+\infty,$$

where

$$m_l(z)=\begin{cases}\dfrac{-1}{\sin((l+\frac{1}{2})\pi)}(-z)^{l+\frac{1}{2}}, & l+\dfrac{1}{2}\in\mathbb{R}_+\setminus\mathbb{N}_0,\\ \dfrac{-1}{\pi}z^{l+\frac{1}{2}}\log(-z), & l+\dfrac{1}{2}\in\mathbb{N}_0.\end{cases} \tag{2.19}$$

Moreover, the spectral function satisfies

$$\rho(\lambda)=\rho_l(\lambda)(1+o(1)),\quad \lambda\to+\infty, \tag{2.20}$$

where

$$\rho_l(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\pi(l+\frac{3}{2})}\mathbb{1}_{[0,\infty)}(\lambda)\lambda^{l+\frac{3}{2}}, \qquad l \geq -\frac{1}{2}.$$

Note that the formula (2.20) was first announced in [16]. For extensions of Theorem 2.8 to the case when q is a distribution in H^{-1}_{loc} we refer to [8].

2.3. **The Jost solution.** In this subsection, we assume that the potential q belongs to *the Marchenko class*, i.e., in addition to (2.1), q also satisfies

$$\int_1^\infty x|q(x)|dx < \infty. \tag{2.21}$$

Recall that under these assumptions on q the spectrum of H is purely absolutely continuous on $(0,\infty)$ with an at most finite number of eigenvalues $\lambda_n \in (-\infty, 0]$.

Next we need some estimates for the Weyl solution ψ defined by (2.17). We begin with some basic properties of the unperturbed Bessel equation in which case the Weyl solution is given by

$$\psi_l(k^2,x) = \mathrm{i}k^{l+\frac{1}{2}}\sqrt{\frac{\pi x}{2}}H^{(1)}_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(kx),$$

which is analytic in Im $k>0$ and continuous in Im $k \geq 0$. Here $H^{(1)}_\nu$ is the Hankel function of the first kind (see Appendix B). Its derivative is given by (cf. (B.8))

$$\partial_k\psi_l(k^2,x) = \mathrm{i}k^{l+\frac{1}{2}}x\sqrt{\frac{\pi x}{2}}H^{(1)}_{l-\frac{1}{2}}(kx).$$

The analog of Lemma 2.1 reads:

Lemma 2.9. *If $l > -1/2$, then for every $x>0$*

$$\left|\psi_l(k^2,x)\right| \leq C\left(\frac{x}{1+|k|\,x}\right)^{-l}\mathrm{e}^{-|\mathrm{Im}\ k|x} \tag{2.22}$$

and

$$\left|\partial_k\psi_l(k^2,x)\right| \leq C\mathrm{e}^{-|\mathrm{Im}\,k|x}\begin{cases}|k|x\left(\dfrac{1+|k|\,x}{x}\right)^{l-1}, & l \geq \dfrac{1}{2},\\ |k|^l x\left(\dfrac{|k|\,x}{1+|k|\,x}\right)^{l}, & |l| < \dfrac{1}{2}.\end{cases} \tag{2.23}$$

A solution $f(k,\cdot)$ to $\tau y = k^2 y$ satisfying the following asymptotic normalization

$$f(k,x) = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}kx}(1+o(1)), \qquad f'(k,x) = \mathrm{i}k\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}kx}(1+o(1)) \tag{2.24}$$

as $x\to\infty$, is called *the Jost solution.* In the case $q=0$ we have (cf. (B.6))

$$f_l(k,x) = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\frac{\pi l}{2}}}{k^l}\psi_l(k^2,x) = \mathrm{i}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\frac{\pi l}{2}}\sqrt{\frac{\pi xk}{2}}H^{(1)}_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(kx).$$

Lemma 2.10. *Assume* (2.21)*. Then $f(k,x)$ satisfies the integral equation*

$$f(k,x) = f_l(k,x) - \int_x^\infty G_l(k^2,x,y)q(y)f(k,y)dy.$$

If $l>-1/2$, then for all $x>0$, $f(\cdot,x)$ is analytic in the upper half plane and can be continuously extended to the real axis away from $k=0$ and

$$|f(k,x)-f_l(k,x)| \leq C\left(\frac{|k|\,x}{1+|k|\,x}\right)^{-l}\mathrm{e}^{-|\mathrm{Im}\ k|\,x}\int_x^\infty\frac{yq(y)}{1+|k|\,y}dy. \tag{2.25}$$

Moreover, the function $h(k,x) := \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}kx} f(k,x)$ *satisfies the estimates*

$$|\partial_k h_l(k,x)| \le \frac{C}{x\,|k|^2}\left(\frac{1+|k|\,x}{|k|\,x}\right)^{l-1}, \tag{2.26}$$

and

$$|\partial_k h(k,x) - \partial_k h_l(k,x)| \le \frac{C}{|k|}\left(\frac{1+|k|\,x}{|k|\,x}\right)^{l}\int_x^\infty y|q(y)|dy. \tag{2.27}$$

Proof. The proof is based on the successive iteration procedure. Set

$$f = \sum_{n=0}^\infty f_n, \quad f_0 := f_l, \quad f_n(k,x) := -\int_x^\infty G_l(k^2,x,y) f_{n-1}(k,y) q(y) dy$$

for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$. The series is absolutely convergent since

$$|f_n(k,x)| \le \frac{C^{n+1}}{n!}\left(\frac{1+|k|x}{|k|x}\right)^{l} \mathrm{e}^{|\mathrm{Im}\,k|x}\left(\int_x^\infty \frac{y\,|q(y)|}{1+|k|y}dy\right)^n. \tag{2.28}$$

The latter also proves (2.5).

The proof of (2.26) is given in Appendix B. It remains to prove (2.27). First, notice that h solves the following equation

$$h(k,x) = h_l(k,x) - \int_x^\infty \tilde{G}_l(k,x,y) q(y) h(k,y) dy, \quad \tilde{G}_l(k,x,y) := G_l(k^2,x,y)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(y-x)}.$$

Then setting

$$\partial_k h = \sum_{n=0}^\infty g_n, \quad g_0(k,x) := \partial_k h_l(k,x), \tag{2.29}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_n(k,x) := &\int_x^\infty \partial_k \tilde{G}_l(k,x,y)\, h_{n-1}(k,y) q(y) dy \\ &+ \int_x^\infty \tilde{G}_l(k,x,y) g_{n-1}(k,y) q(y) dy, \quad n\in\mathbb{N},, \end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

we need to show that it satisfies the integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_k h(k,x) = &\ \partial_k h_l(k,x) \\ &- \int_x^\infty [\partial_k \tilde{G}_l(k,x,y)] h(k,y) + \tilde{G}_l(k,x,y)\partial_k h(k,y)] q(y) dy. \end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

It easily follows from (2.7) that

$$\left|\partial_k \tilde{G}_l(k,x,y)\right| \le C|k|y\left(\frac{|k|y}{1+|k|y}\right)^{l+2}\left(\frac{1+|k|x}{|k|x}\right)^{l}, \quad 0<x\le y.$$

Therefore, using (2.28), we can bound the first summand in (2.30) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{1st term}| &\le \frac{C^{n+1}}{(n-1)!}\left(\frac{1+|k|x}{|k|x}\right)^{l}\int_x^\infty \frac{|k|y^3\,|q(y)|}{(1+|k|y)^2}\left(\int_y^\infty \frac{t\,|q(t)|}{1+|k|t}dt\right)^{n-1} dy \\ &\le \frac{C^{n+1}}{n!}\frac{1}{|k|}\left(\frac{1+|k|x}{|k|x}\right)^{l}\left(\int_x^\infty y|q(y)|dy\right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Next, using induction, one can show that the second summand admits a similar bound and hence we finally get

$$|g_n(k,x)| \le \frac{C^{n+1}}{n!}\frac{1}{k}\left(\frac{1+|k|x}{|k|x}\right)^l \left(\int_x^\infty y|q(y)|dy\right)^n.$$

This immediately implies the convergence of (2.29) and, moreover, the estimate

$$|\partial_k h(k,x) - \partial_k h_l(k,x)| \le \sum_{n=1}^\infty |g_n(k,x)|,$$

from which (2.27) follows under the assumption (2.21). □

Furthermore, by [11, 6], the Jost solution f admits a representation by means of transformation operators preserving the behavior of solutions at infinity (see also [5, Chap. V] for further details and historical remarks).

Lemma 2.11 ([6]). *Suppose* $\int_1^\infty (x+x^l)|q(x)|dx < \infty$. *Then*

$$f(k,x) = f_l(k,x) + \int_x^\infty K(x,y) f_l(k,y)dy =: (I+K)f_l(k,x), \tag{2.32}$$

where the so-called Marchenko kernel $K:\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{R}$ *satisfies the estimate*

$$|K(x,y)| \le \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2}{x}\right)^l \tilde\sigma_l\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)\mathrm{e}^{\tilde\sigma_1(x)}, \quad \tilde\sigma_j(x) := \int_x^\infty y^j|q(y)|dy, \tag{2.33}$$

for all $x<y<\infty$ *and* $j\in\{1,l\}$.

In particular, this lemma immediately implies the following useful result.

Corollary 2.12. *Suppose* $\int_1^\infty (x+x^{l+1})|q(x)|dx < \infty$. *Then* K *is a bounded operator on* $L^\infty((1,\infty))$.

Proof. If $f\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$, then using the estimate (2.33) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |(Kf)(x)| &= \Big|\int_x^\infty K(x,y)f(y)dy\Big| \le \|f\|_\infty \int_x^\infty |K(x,y)|dy \\ &\le \frac{1}{2}\|f\|_\infty \mathrm{e}^{\tilde\sigma_1(1)}\left(\frac{2}{x}\right)^l \int_x^\infty \tilde\sigma_l\Big(\frac{x+y}{2}\Big)dy \\ &\le 2^{l-1}\|f\|_\infty \mathrm{e}^{\tilde\sigma_1(1)} \int_1^\infty\int_{(1+y)/2}^\infty t^l|q(t)|dt\,dy \\ &= 2^{l-1}\|f\|_\infty \mathrm{e}^{\tilde\sigma_1(1)} \int_1^\infty\int_1^{2t-1} t^l|q(t)|dy\,dt \le 2^l\|f\|_\infty \tilde\sigma_{l+1}(1)\mathrm{e}^{\tilde\sigma_1(1)}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the claim. □

2.4. **The Jost function.**

By Lemma 2.10, the Jost solution is analytic in the upper half plane and can be continuously extended to the real axis away from $k=0$. We can extend it to the lower half plane by setting $f(k,x) = f(-k,x) = f(k^*,x)^*$ for $\mathrm{Im}(k)<0$. For $k\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$ we obtain two solutions $f(k,x)$ and $f(-k,x) = f(k,x)^*$ of the same equation whose Wronskian is given by (cf. (2.24))

$$W(f(-k,.), f(k,.)) = 2\mathrm{i}k. \tag{2.34}$$

The Jost function is defined as

$$f(k) = W(f(k,.), \phi(k^2,.)) \tag{2.35}$$

and we also set

$$g(k) = W(f(k,.), \theta(k^2,.))$$

such that

$$f(k,x) = f(k)\theta(k^2,x) - g(k)\phi(k^2,x) = f(k)\psi(k^2,x). \tag{2.36}$$

In particular, the Weyl m-function (2.17) is given by

$$m(k^2) = -\frac{g(k)}{f(k)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{C}_+.$$

Note that both $f(k)$ and $g(k)$ are analytic in the upper half plane and $f(k)$ has simple zeros at $\mathrm{i}\kappa_n = \sqrt{\lambda_n} \in \mathbb{C}_+$.

Since $f(k,x)^* = f(-k,x)$ for $k \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$, we obtain $f(k)^* = f(-k)$ and $g(k)^* = g(-k)$. Moreover, (2.34) shows

$$\phi(k^2,x) = \frac{f(-k)}{2\mathrm{i}k} f(k,x) - \frac{f(k)}{2\mathrm{i}k} f(-k,x), \quad k \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}, \tag{2.37}$$

and by (2.36) we get

$$2\mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{Im}(f(k)g(k)^*) = f(k)g(k)^* - f(k)^*g(k) = W(f(-k,\cdot), f(k,\cdot)) = 2\mathrm{i}k.$$

Hence

$$\mathrm{Im}\ m(k^2) = -\frac{\mathrm{Im}\left(f(k)^* g(k)\right)}{|f(k)|^2} = \frac{k}{|f(k)|^2}, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}, \tag{2.38}$$

implying

$$d\rho(\lambda) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\lambda)\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi|f(\sqrt{\lambda})|^2}d\lambda + \sum_n \gamma_n d\theta(\lambda - \lambda_n), \tag{2.39}$$

where $\gamma_n^{-1} = \|\phi(\lambda_n,\cdot)\|^2_{L(\mathbb{R}_+)}$ are the usual norming constants. Since $-\gamma_n$ equals the residue of $m(z)$ at λ_n we obtain

$$\dot{f}(\mathrm{i}\kappa_n) = -2\mathrm{i}\kappa_n \frac{g(\mathrm{i}\kappa_n)}{\gamma_n}, \qquad f(\mathrm{i}\kappa_n, x) = g(\mathrm{i}\kappa_n)\phi(\lambda_n, x).$$

Note that

$$f_l(k) := W(f_l(k,.), \phi_l(k^2,.)) = k^{-l}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\frac{\pi l}{2}}, \quad 0 \le \arg(k) < \pi.$$

Thus, by Theorem 2.8 and (2.39),

$$|f(k)| = |f_l(k)|(1+o(1)) = |k|^{-l}(1+o(1)), \quad k \to \infty. \tag{2.40}$$

Finally, consider the following function

$$F(k) := \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\frac{\pi l}{2}}\, k^l f(k) = \frac{f(k)}{f_l(k)} = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\frac{\pi l}{2}}\, k^l W(f(k,.), \phi(k^2,.)), \quad \mathrm{Im}\ k \ge 0. \tag{2.41}$$

Note that if we use

$$\tilde{\psi}(k,x) = \frac{f(k,x)}{f_l(k)} = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\frac{\pi l}{2}} k^l f(k,x) \tag{2.42}$$

instead of $f(k,x)$, then $\tilde{\psi}(\cdot,x)$ is analytic in the upper half plane and can be continuously extended to the whole real axis and (2.25) now reads

$$|\tilde{\psi}(k,x) - \psi_l(k,x)| \le C\left(\frac{x}{1+|k|\,x}\right)^{-l} \mathrm{e}^{-|\mathrm{Im}\ k|\,x}\int_x^\infty \frac{yq(y)}{1+|k|\,y}dy. \tag{2.43}$$

Lemma 2.13 ([21])**.** *Assume* (2.1) *and* (2.21)*. Then the function F admits the following integral representation*

$$F(k) = 1 + \int_0^\infty \psi_l(k^2,x)\phi(k^2,x)q(x)dx, \tag{2.44}$$

$$= 1 + \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\frac{\pi l}{2}}\, k^l \int_0^\infty f(k,x)\phi_l(k^2,x)q(x)dx.$$

If $l > -1/2$, then F is analytic in $\mathbb{C}_+$, continuous and bounded on $\mathrm{Im}\ k \ge 0$ and

$$F(k) = 1 + o(1) \tag{2.45}$$

as $|k| \to \infty$ in $\mathrm{Im}\ k \ge 0$.

Remark 2.14. *Note that $\phi(k^2,x)$ and $\phi_l(k^2,x)$ have the same leading asymptotics as $|k| \to \infty$. Also,*

$$2k\,\psi_l(k^2,x)\,\phi_l(k^2,x) \to 1, \quad |k| \to \infty.$$

Since $\big|k\,\psi_l(k^2,x)\,\phi(k^2,x)\big| \le C$, dominated convergence implies that

$$F(k) = 1 + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2k}\int_0^\infty q(x)dx + o(k^{-1}) \tag{2.46}$$

as $|k| \to \infty$ provided that $q \in L^1(0,\infty)$.

We also will need the behavior of F and F' near zero. The next lemma is well known and we give its proof for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 2.15. *Let $l > -1/2$ and assume* (2.1) *and* (2.21)*. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *$F(0) = 0$,*
(ii) *$\phi(0,.)$ and $\tilde\psi(0,.)$ are linearly dependent,*
(iii) *$\phi(0,x) \sim Cx^{-l}$ as $x \to \infty$,*
(iv) *there is either a resonance (if $l \in (-1/2,1/2]$) or an eigenvalue (if $l > 1/2$).*

Proof. By (2.41) and (2.42), $F(0) = W(\tilde\psi(0,.),\phi(0,.))$ which proves the equivalence $(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii)$. Moreover, the latter is further equivalent to (iii) since $\tilde\psi(0,x) \sim Cx^{-l}$ as $x \to \infty$ in view of (2.43).

Finally, the kernel of the resolvent $\mathcal{R}_H(k^2) = (H-k^2)^{-1}$ is given by

$$[\mathcal{R}_H(k^2 + \mathrm{i}0)](y,x) = \frac{\phi(k^2,x)f(k,y)}{f(k)} = \frac{\phi(k^2,x)\tilde\psi(k,y)}{F(k)}, \quad x \le y, \tag{2.47}$$

and hence we see that there is a resonance or an eigenvalue, i.e. a singularity of this kernel at $k = 0$ if and only if $F(0) = 0$. Moreover, this is also equivalent to existence of a solution which, is bounded if $l \ge 0$ and which is square integrable (i.e. an eigenfunction) if $l > \frac{1}{2}$. □

Lemma 2.16. *Assume* (2.1) *and* (2.21)*. Then $F(k) \neq 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$ and*

$$|F(k)|^{-1} \le \mathcal{O}(|k|^{-\min(l+3/2,2)}), \qquad k \to 0.$$

Proof. Since $f(k,x)$ can only be a multiple of $\phi(k^2,x)$ if $k = 0$, their Wronskian $f(k)$ can only vanish at 0. Moreover, the singular Weyl function must satisfy

$$|m(z)| \le \frac{C_\lambda}{|z-\lambda|}$$

near every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, which follows from its integral representation (2.18). Hence we obtain from (2.41) and (2.38)

$$\frac{1}{|F(k)|} = \frac{1}{|k|^l\,|f(k)|} = \frac{1}{|k|^{l+\frac{1}{2}}}\sqrt{\mathrm{Im}\; m(k^2)} \le \frac{C}{|k|^{l+\frac{3}{2}}}$$

as claimed.

To obtain the second bound we use that fact that the diagonal of the Green's function $\mathcal{R}_H(\cdot)(x,x)$ is a Herglotz–Nevanlinna function and hence satisfies

$$\left|\mathcal{R}_H(z)(x,x)\right| \le \frac{C_{\lambda,x}}{|z-\lambda|}$$

near every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Choosing $x > 0$ such that $\phi(0,x)\tilde{\psi}(0,x) \neq 0$ and using (2.47) we prove the claim. □

Lemma 2.17. *Assume* (2.1) *and* (2.21). *Then* F *is differentiable for all* $k \neq 0$ *and*

$$|F'(k)| \le \frac{C}{1+|k|}$$

for all $|k|$ *large enough. If in addition*

$$\int_1^\infty x^2|q(x)|dx < \infty. \tag{2.48}$$

then

$$|F'(k)| = \mathcal{O}(|k|^{\min(0,2l)}), \quad |k| \to 0.$$

Proof. Using (2.44), we get

$$F'(k) = \int_0^\infty \big(\partial_k\psi_l(k^2,x)\phi(k^2,x) + \psi_l(k^2,x)\partial_k\phi(k^2,x)\big)q(x)dx.$$

The integral converges absolutely for all $k \neq 0$. Indeed, by (2.22) and (2.11), we obtain

$$\left|\int_0^\infty \psi_l(k^2,x)\partial_k\phi(k^2,x)q(x)dx\right| \le C\int_0^\infty \frac{x^2}{1+|k|x}|q(x)|dx$$

Using (2.5) and (2.23), we get the following estimates for the first summand:

$$\left|\int_0^\infty \partial_k\psi_l(k^2,x)\,\phi(k^2,x)q(x)dx\right| \le C\begin{cases}\displaystyle\int_0^\infty \frac{x^2}{1+|k|x}|q(x)|dx, & l \ge 0,\\ \displaystyle|k|^{2l}\int_0^\infty \frac{x^{2+2l}}{(1+|k|x)^{1+2l}}|q(x)|dx, & l \in (-\tfrac{1}{2},0).\end{cases}$$

Now the claim follows. □

3. Dispersive decay

In this section we prove the dispersive decay estimate (1.4) for the Schrödinger equation (1.1). In order to do this, we divide the analysis into a low and high energy regimes. In the analysis of both regimes we make use of variants of the van der Corput lemma (see Appendix A), combined with a Born series approach for the high energy regime.

3.1. **The low energy part.** For the low energy regime, it is convenient to use the following well-known representation of the integral kernel of $\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}P_c(H)$,

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}P_c(H)](x,y) &= \frac{2}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tk^2}\, \phi(k^2,x)\phi(k^2,y)\,\mathrm{Im}\, m(k^2)k\, dk \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tk^2}\, \frac{\phi(k^2,x)\phi(k^2,y)k^2}{|f(k)|^2}\, dk \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tk^2}\, \frac{\phi(k^2,x)\phi(k^2,y)|k|^{2(l+1)}}{|F(k)|^2}\, dk \qquad (3.1) \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tk^2}\, \frac{\tilde{\phi}(k,x)\tilde{\phi}(k,y)}{|F(k)|^2}\, dk,\end{aligned}$$

where the integral is to be understood as an improper integral. In fact, adding an additional energy cut-off (which is all we will need below) the formula is immediate from the spectral transformation [19, §3] and the general case can then be established taking limits using the bounds on this kernel to be established below. In the last equality we have used

$$\tilde{\phi}(k,x) := |k|^{l+1}\phi(k^2,x), \quad k\in\mathbb{R}. \qquad (3.2)$$

Note that

$$|\tilde{\phi}(k,x)| \le C\left(\frac{|k|x}{1+|k|x}\right)^{l+1} \mathrm{e}^{|\,\mathrm{Im}\,k|x}, \qquad (3.3)$$

$$|\partial_k\tilde{\phi}(k,x)| \le Cx\left(\frac{|k|x}{1+|k|x}\right)^{l} \mathrm{e}^{|\,\mathrm{Im}\,k|x}, \qquad (3.4)$$

which follows from (2.3), (2.5) and

$$\partial_k\tilde{\phi}(k,x) = (l+1)\mathrm{sgn}(k)|k|^l\phi(k^2,x) + |k|^{l+1}\partial_k\phi(k^2,x)$$

together with (2.6), (2.11).

We begin with the following estimate.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume* (2.1) *and* (2.48). *Let also* $\chi\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ *with* $\mathrm{supp}(\chi)\subset(-k_0,k_0)$ *and suppose there is neither a resonance nor an eigenvalue at* 0, *that is* $F(0)\neq 0$. *Then*

$$\big|[\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}\chi(H)P_c(H)](x,y)\big| \le \frac{C}{|t|^{1/2}}\max(x,y). \qquad (3.5)$$

Proof. We want to apply the van der Corput Lemma A.1 with $c=0$ and

$$A(k) = \chi(k^2)A_0(k), \qquad A_0(k) = \frac{\phi(k^2,x)\phi(k^2,y)\,|k|^{2(l+1)}}{|F(k)|^2} = \frac{\tilde{\phi}(k,x)\tilde{\phi}(k,y)}{|F(k)|^2}.$$

Note that

$$\|A\|_\infty \le \|\chi\|_\infty\|A_0\|_\infty, \qquad \|A'\|_1 \le \|\chi'\|_1\|A_0\|_\infty + \|\chi\|_\infty\|A_0'\|_1.$$

Our assumption $F(0)\neq 0$ together with Lemma 2.16 imply $F(k)\neq 0$ for all $k\in\mathbb{R}$ and hence $\|1/F\|_\infty<\infty$ in view of Lemma 2.13. Using (3.3) we infer

$$\sup_{k^2\le k_0} |A_0(k)| \le C\,\|1/F\|_\infty^2\big(\min(1,k_0xy)\big)^{l+1} < \infty, \qquad (3.6)$$

which holds for all x and y with some uniform constant $C>0$. Moreover,

$$A_0'(k)=\frac{\partial_k\tilde\phi(k,x)\tilde\phi(k,y)+\tilde\phi(k,x)\partial_k\tilde\phi(k,y)}{|F(k)|^2}-A_0(k)\operatorname{Re}\frac{F'(k)}{F(k)}$$

and it suffices to bound the two terms from above on compact sets. In fact, it suffices to consider the first term since the second one follows from (3.6) and Lemma 2.17.

The estimate for the first term follows from (3.3) and (3.4) since

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\partial_k\tilde\phi(k,x)\tilde\phi(k,y)+\tilde\phi(k,x)\partial_k\tilde\phi(k,y)\right|\\&\quad\le C\left(\frac{|k|x}{1+|k|x}\right)^{l+1}\left(\frac{|k|y}{1+|k|y}\right)^{l+1}\left(\frac{1+|k|x}{|k|}+\frac{1+|k|y}{|k|}\right)\\&\quad\le C\sqrt{xy}\left(\frac{|k|x}{1+|k|x}\right)^{l+1/2}\left(\frac{|k|y}{1+|k|y}\right)^{l+1/2}\frac{1+|k|x+1+|k|y}{\sqrt{(1+|k|x)(1+|k|y)}}\\&\quad\le C\sqrt{xy}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1+|k|x}{1+|k|y}}+\sqrt{\frac{1+|k|y}{1+|k|x}}\right)\le C\max(x,y).\end{aligned}$$

It remains to apply the van der Corput lemma. □

To get rid of the dependence of x and y in (3.5) we make use of the transformation operators (2.15) and (2.32).

Theorem 3.2. *Assume*

$$\int_0^1|q(x)|dx<\infty\quad\text{and}\quad\int_1^\infty x^{\max(2,l+1)}|q(x)|dx<\infty. \tag{3.7}$$

Let also $\chi\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\operatorname{supp}(\chi)\subset(-k_0,k_0)$ and suppose there is neither a resonance nor an eigenvalue at 0, that is $F(0)\neq 0$. Then

$$\left|[\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}\chi(H)P_c(H)](x,y)\right|\le\frac{C}{|t|^{1/2}},\qquad \max(x,y)\ge 1. \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. Assume that $0<x\le 1\le y$. We proceed as in the previous proof but use Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.11 to write

$$A(k)=\chi(k^2)\frac{(I+B_x)\tilde\phi_l(k,x)\cdot(I+K_y)\tilde\phi_l(k,y)}{|F(k)|^2},\quad k\neq 0.$$

Indeed, for all $k\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$, $\phi(k,\cdot)$ is bounded at infinity and admits the representation (2.37) by means of Jost solution $f(k,\cdot)$ and $f(-k,\cdot)$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.11, $\tilde\phi(k,y)=(I+K_y)\tilde\phi_l(k,y)$ for all $k\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$.

By symmetry $A(k)=A(-k)$ and hence our integral reads

$$I(t,x,y)=\frac{4}{\pi}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tk^2}A(k)dk.$$

Our aim is to use Lemma A.2 (plus the remarks after this lemma) and hence we need to show that the individual parts of $A(k)$ coincide with a function which is the Fourier transform of a finite measure. In particular, we can redefine $A(k)$ for $k<0$. To this end note that $\tilde\phi_l(k^2,x)=J(|k|x)$, where

$$J(r)=\sqrt{r}\,J_{l+\frac12}(r)=\frac{r^{l+1}}{2^{l+1/2}}\sum_{n=0}^\infty\frac{(-r^2/4)^n}{n!\Gamma(\nu+n+1)},\quad r\ge 0.$$

Note that $J(r) \sim r^{l+1}$ as $r \to 0$ and $J(r) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\sin(r - \frac{\pi l}{2}) + O(r^{-1})$ as $r \to +\infty$ (see (B.4)). Moreover, $J'(r) \sim r^l$ as $r \to 0$ and $J'(r) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\cos(r - \frac{\pi l}{2}) + O(r^{-1})$ as $r \to +\infty$ (see (B.9)). In particular, $\tilde{J}(r) := J(r) - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\sin(r - \frac{\pi l}{2})$ is in $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Moreover, we can define $J(r)$ for $r < 0$ such that it is locally in H^1 and $J(r) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\sin(r - \frac{\pi l}{2})$ for $r < -1$. By construction we then have $\tilde{J} \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ and thus $\tilde{J}$ is the Fourier transform of an integrable function. Moreover, $\sin(r - \frac{\pi l}{2})$ is the Fourier transform of the sum of two Dirac delta measures and so J is the Fourier transform of a finite measure. By scaling, the total variation of the measures corresponding to $J(kx)$ is independent of x. Since the same is true for $\chi(k^2)|F(k)|^{-2}$ by Lemma 2.17, an application of Lemma A.2 shows

$$|\tilde{I}(t,x,y)| \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}}, \qquad \tilde{I}(t,x,y) = \frac{4}{\pi}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tk^2}\chi(k^2)\frac{\tilde{\phi}_l(k,x)\tilde{\phi}_l(k,y)}{|F(k)|^2}dk.$$

But by Fubini we have $I(t,x,y) = (1 + B_x)(1 + K_y)\tilde{I}(t,x,y)$ and the claim follows since both $B : L^\infty((0,1)) \to L^\infty((0,1))$ and $K : L^\infty((1,\infty)) \to L^\infty((1,\infty))$ are bounded in view of Corollary 2.6 and Corollary 2.12, respectively.

By symmetry, we immediately obtain the same estimate if $0 < y \le 1 \le x$. The case $\min(x,y) \ge 1$ can be proved analogously, we only need to to write

$$A(k) = \chi(k^2)\frac{(I + K_x)\tilde{\phi}_l(k,x) \cdot (I + K_y)\tilde{\phi}_l(k,y)}{|F(k)|^2}, \quad k \neq 0. \qquad \square$$

3.2. **The high energy part.** For the analysis of the high energy regime we use the following —also well known— alternative representation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}P_c(H) &= \frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}t\omega}\left[\mathcal{R}_H(\omega + \mathrm{i}0) - \mathcal{R}_H(\omega - \mathrm{i}0)\right]d\omega \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi\mathrm{i}}\int_{-\infty}^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tk^2}\mathcal{R}_H(k^2 + \mathrm{i}0)\,k\,dk, \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where $\mathcal{R}_H(\omega) = (H - \omega)^{-1}$ is the resolvent of the Schrödinger operator H and the limit is understood in the strong sense [27]. We recall that the Green's function is given by

$$[\mathcal{R}_H(k^2 \pm \mathrm{i}0)](x,y) = [\mathcal{R}_H(k^2 \pm \mathrm{i}0)](y,x) = \phi(k^2,x)\psi(k^2 \pm \mathrm{i}0,y), \quad x \le y.$$

Note also that

$$\psi(k^2 \pm \mathrm{i}0, x) = \frac{f(\pm k, x)}{f(\pm k)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}.$$

Fix $k_0 > 0$ and let $\chi : \mathbb{R} \to [0,\infty)$ be a C^∞ function such that

$$\chi(k^2) = \begin{cases} 0, & |k| < 2k_0, \\ 1, & |k| > 3k_0. \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

The purpose of this section is to prove the following estimate.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Then*

$$\left|[\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}\chi(H)P_c(H)](x,y)\right| \le \frac{C}{|t|^{1/2}}.$$

Our starting point is the fact that the resolvent $\mathcal{R}_H$ of H can be expanded into the Born series

$$\mathcal{R}_H(k^2 \pm \mathrm{i}0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}_l(k^2 \pm \mathrm{i}0)(-q\,\mathcal{R}_l(k^2 \pm \mathrm{i}0))^n, \tag{3.11}$$

where $\mathcal{R}_l$ stands for the resolvent of the unperturbed radial Schrödinger operator.

To this end we begin by collecting some facts about $\mathcal{R}_l$. Its kernel is given

$$\mathcal{R}_l(k^2 \pm \mathrm{i}0, x, y) = \frac{1}{k} r_l(\pm k, x, y),$$

where

$$r_l(k; x, y) = r_l(k; y, x) := k\sqrt{xy}\, J_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(kx) H^{(1)}_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(ky), \quad x \le y.$$

Lemma 3.4. *The function $r_l(k, x, y)$, $l > -\frac{1}{2}$, can be written as*

$$r_l(k, x, y) = \chi_{(-\infty,0]}(k) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}kp} d\rho_{l,x,y}(p) + \chi_{[0,\infty)}(k) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}kp} d\rho^*_{l,x,y}(p)$$

with a measure whose total variation satisfies

$$\|\rho_{l,x,y}\| \le C(l).$$

Here ρ^* is the complex conjugated measure.

Proof. Let $x \le y$ and $k \ge 0$. Write

$$r_l(k, x, y) = \frac{\chi_l(kx)}{\chi_l(ky)} J(kx) H(ky),$$

where

$$J(r) = \chi_l(r)^{-1}\sqrt{r}\, J_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(r), \quad H(r) = \chi_l(r)\sqrt{r}\, H^{(1)}_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(r), \quad \chi_l(r) = \left(\frac{r^2}{1+r^2}\right)^{(l+1)/2}.$$

We continue $J(r)$, $H(r)$ to the region $r < 0$ such that they are continuously differentiable and satisfy

$$J(r) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin\left(r - \frac{\pi l}{2}\right), \quad H(r) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(r - \frac{\pi(l+1)}{2}\right)},$$

for $r < -1$. Then $\tilde{J}(r) := J(r) - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin(r - \frac{\pi l}{2})$ and $\tilde{H}(r) := H(r) - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(r - \frac{\pi(l+1)}{2})}$ are in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. In fact, they are continuously differentiable and hence it suffices to look at their asymptotic behavior. For $r < -1$ they are zero and for $r > 1$ they are $O(r^{-1})$ and their derivative is $O(r^{-1})$ as can be seen from the asymptotic behavior of the Bessel and Hankel functions (see Appendix B). Hence both J and H are Fourier transforms of finite measures. By scaling the total variation of the measures corresponding to $J(kx)$, $H(ky)$ are independent of x, y, respectively.

Hence it remains to consider the Fourier transform

$$F_{x,y}(p) := \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\chi_l(kx)}{\chi_l(ky)}\right) \cos(kp) dk.$$

First observe that

$$F_{x,y}(p) = \frac{1}{x} F_{1,y/x}(p/x).$$

for all $x \le y$. Therefore, $\|F_{x,y}\|_{L^1} = \|F_{1,y/x}\|_{L^1}$. Hence it suffices to consider the Fourier transform of

$$h_{\eta,l}(k) := 1 - \left(\frac{\eta + k^2}{1+k^2}\right)^{(l+1)/2}, \qquad \eta := \frac{x^2}{y^2} \in (0,1].$$

First, note that

$$h'_{\eta,l}(k) = (l+1)(\eta - 1)\left(\frac{\eta + k^2}{1+k^2}\right)^{(l-1)/2} \frac{k}{(1+k^2)^2}, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Therefore,

$$h_{\eta,l}(k) = \frac{(l+1)(1-\eta)}{2k^2}(1 + o(k)), \quad h'_{\eta,l}(k) = \frac{(l+1)(\eta-1)}{k^3}(1+o(k))$$

as $k \to \infty$. This implies that $h_{\eta,l} \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ and hence $\hat{h}_{\eta,l} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. According to (A.1), it remains to show that the family $h_{\eta,l}$ is uniformly bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ with respect to $\eta \in (0,1]$. Clearly,

$$|h_{\eta,l}(k)| \le |h_{0,l}(k)|$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{R}$ and hence $\|h_{\eta,l}\|_{L^2} \le \|h_{0,l}\|_{L^2}$. Noting that

$$\left(\frac{\eta + k^2}{1+k^2}\right)^{\frac{l-1}{2}} \le \begin{cases} 1, & l \ge 1 \\ \left(\frac{1+k^2}{k^2}\right)^{\frac{1-l}{2}}, & l \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 1), \end{cases}, \quad k > 0,$$

for all $l > -\frac{1}{2}$, we get

$$|h'_{\eta,l}(k)| \le (l+1)\frac{|k|}{(1+k^2)^2} \begin{cases} 1, & l \ge 1, \\ \left(\frac{1+k^2}{k^2}\right)^{\frac{1-l}{2}}, & l \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 1). \end{cases}$$

The latter implies that $\|h'_{\eta,l}\|_{L^2}$ are uniformly bounded. □

Remark 3.5. (i) *For $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the situation is somewhat simpler and we can write*

$$r_l(k,x,y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}kp} d\rho_{l,x,y}(p), \qquad l \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{l,x,y}(p) =& \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\left(\delta(p - x + y) - (-1)^l \delta(p + x + y)\right) \\ &+ \frac{\operatorname{sign}(p - x + y) - \operatorname{sign}(p + x + y)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} P_{l,x,y}(p)\end{aligned}$$

where $P_{l,x,y}(p)$ is a polynomial of degree $2l-1$ which is symmetric in x and y. Explicitly,

$$P_{0,x,y}(p) = 0, \quad P_{1,x,y}(p) = -\frac{p}{xy}, \quad P_{2,x,y}(p) = \frac{3p\left(p^2 - x^2 - y^2\right)}{2x^2y^2}$$

$$P_{3,x,y}(p) = -\frac{3p\left(5\left(p^2 - x^2\right)^2 + 2y^2\left(3x^2 - 5p^2\right) + 5y^4\right)}{8x^3y^3}$$

and one can verify the claim explicitly.

(ii) *We have the following recursion*

$$r_{l+1}(k,x,y) = r_{l-1}(k,x,y) - \frac{2l+1}{kxy}\left(\frac{d}{dk} - \frac{1}{k}\right) r_l(k,x,y).$$

Now we are in position to finish the proof of the main result.

Proof of Theorem 3.3. As a consequence of Lemma 3.4 we note

$$|\mathcal{R}_l(k^2 \pm \mathrm{i}0, x, y)| \le \frac{C(l)}{|k|}$$

and hence the operator $q\,\mathcal{R}_l(k^2 \pm \mathrm{i}0)$ is bounded on L^1 with

$$\|q\,\mathcal{R}_l(k^2 \pm \mathrm{i}0)\|_{L^1} \le \frac{C(l)}{|k|}\|q\|_{L^1}.$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\left\langle \mathcal{R}_l(k^2 \pm \mathrm{i}0)(-q\,\mathcal{R}_l(k^2 \pm \mathrm{i}0))^n f, g\right\rangle\right| &= \left|\left\langle -q\,\mathcal{R}_l(k^2 \pm \mathrm{i}0))^n f, \mathcal{R}_l(k^2 \mp \mathrm{i}0) g\right\rangle\right| \\ &\le \left\|(-q\,\mathcal{R}_l(k^2 \pm \mathrm{i}0))^n f\right\|_{L^1} \left\|\mathcal{R}_l(k^2 \mp \mathrm{i}0) g\right\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\le \frac{C(l)^{n+1}\|q\|_{L^1}^n}{|k|^{n+1}} \|f\|_{L^1}\|g\|_{L^1}.\end{aligned}$$

This estimate holds for all L^1 functions f and g and hence the series (3.11) weakly converges whenever $|k| > k_0 := C(l)\|q\|_{L^1}$. Namely, for all L^1 functions f and g we have

$$\left\langle \mathcal{R}_H(k^2 \pm \mathrm{i}0) f, g\right\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\langle \mathcal{R}_l(k^2 \pm \mathrm{i}0)(-q\,\mathcal{R}_l(k^2 \pm \mathrm{i}0))^n f, g\right\rangle. \tag{3.12}$$

Using the estimates (2.5), (2.25), (2.41), and (2.45) for the Green's function of the perturbed operator, one can see that

$$\mathcal{R}_H(k^2 \pm \mathrm{i}0)\, g \in L^\infty$$

whenever $g \in L^1$ and $|k| > 0$. Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\left\langle \mathcal{R}_H(k^2 \pm \mathrm{i}0)(-q\,\mathcal{R}_l(k^2 \pm \mathrm{i}0))^n f, g\right\rangle\right| \\ &\qquad = \left|\left\langle (-q\,\mathcal{R}_l(k^2 \pm \mathrm{i}0))^n f, \mathcal{R}_H(k^2 \mp \mathrm{i}0) g\right\rangle\right| \\ &\qquad \le \left\|(-q\,\mathcal{R}_l(k^2 \pm \mathrm{i}0))^n f\right\|_{L^1} \left\|\mathcal{R}_H(k^2 \mp \mathrm{i}0) g\right\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\qquad \le \left(\frac{C(l)\,\|q\|_{L^1}}{k}\right)^n \left\|\mathcal{R}_H(k^2 \mp \mathrm{i}0) g\right\|_{L^\infty},\end{aligned}$$

which means that $\mathcal{R}_H(k^2 \pm \mathrm{i}0)(-q\,\mathcal{R}_l(k^2 \pm \mathrm{i}0))^n$ weakly tends to 0 whenever $|k| > k_0$.

Let us consider again a function χ as in (3.10) with $k_0 := C(l)\|q\|_1$. Since $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH}\chi(H)P_c = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tH}\chi(H)$, we get from (3.9)

$$\left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}\chi(H) f, g\right\rangle = \frac{1}{\pi\mathrm{i}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tk^2}\chi(k^2)k\left\langle \mathcal{R}_H(k^2 + \mathrm{i}0) f, g\right\rangle dk.$$

Using (3.12) and noting that we can exchange summation and integration, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}\chi(H) f, g\right\rangle \\ &\qquad = \frac{1}{\pi\mathrm{i}}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tk^2}\chi(k^2)k\left\langle \mathcal{R}_l(k^2 + \mathrm{i}0)(-q\,\mathcal{R}_l(k^2 + \mathrm{i}0))^n f, g\right\rangle dk.\end{aligned}$$

The kernel of the operator $\mathcal{R}_l(k^2+\mathrm{i}0)(-q\,\mathcal{R}_l(k^2+\mathrm{i}0))^n$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{k^{n+1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} r_l(k;x,y_1)\prod_{i=1}^n q(y_i)\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} r_l(k;y_i,y_{i+1})r_l(k;y_n,y)dy_1\cdots dy_n.$$

Applying Fubini's theorem, we can integrate in k first and hence we need to obtain a uniform estimate of the oscillatory integral

$$I_n(t;u_0,\dots,u_{n+1}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tk^2}\chi(k^2)\left(\frac{k}{2k_0}\right)^{-n}\prod_{i=0}^{n} r_l(k;u_i,u_{i+1})\,dk$$

since, recalling that $k_0 = C(l)\|q\|_{L^1}$, one obtains

$$\left|\langle \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}\chi(H)f,g\rangle\right| \le \frac{1}{\pi}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2C(l))^n}\sup_{\{u_i\}_{i=0}^{n+1}}|I_n(t;u_0,\dots,u_{n+1})|\,\|f\|_{L^1}\,\|g\|_{L^1}\,.$$

Consider the function $f_n(k)=\chi(k^2)\left(\frac{k}{2k_0}\right)^{-n}$. Clearly, f_0 is the Fourier transform of a measure ν_0 satisfying $\|\nu_0\|\le C$. For $n\ge 1$, f_n is H^1 with $\|f_n\|_{H^1}\le \pi^{-1/2}C(1+n)$. Hence by Lemma A.2 and Lemma 3.4 we obtain

$$|I_n(t;u_0,\dots,u_{n+1})| \le \frac{2C_vC}{\sqrt{t}}(1+n)C(l)^{n+1}$$

implying

$$\left|\langle \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}tH}\chi(H)f,g\rangle\right| \le \frac{2C_vC\,C(l)}{\sqrt{t}}\,\|f\|_{L^1}\,\|g\|_{L^1}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1+n}{2^n}.$$

This proves Theorem 3.3. □

Appendix A. The van der Corput Lemma

We will need the classical van der Corput lemma (see e.g. [26, page 334]):

Lemma A.1. *Consider the oscillatory integral*

$$I(t)=\int_a^b \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tk^2+\mathrm{i}ck}A(k)dk.$$

If $A\in \mathrm{AC}(a,b)$*, then*

$$|I(t)|\le C_2\,|t|^{-1/2}\,(\|A\|_\infty+\|A'\|_1),\quad |t|\ge 1,$$

where $C_2\le 2^{8/3}$ *is a universal constant.*

Note that we can apply the above result with $(a,b)=(-\infty,\infty)$ by considering the limit $(-a,a)\to(-\infty,\infty)$.

Our proof will be based on the following variant of the van der Corput lemma which can be shown as in [9, Lemma 5.1].

Lemma A.2. *Let* $(a,b)\subseteq\mathbb{R}$ *and consider the oscillatory integral*

$$I(t)=\int_a^b \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tk^2}A(k)dk.$$

If A *is the Fourier transform of a signed measure*

$$A(k)=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}kp}d\alpha(p),$$

then the above integral exists as an improper integral and satisfies

$$|I(t)| \le C_2 \, |t|^{-1/2} \, \|\alpha\| \, , \quad |t| > 0.$$

where $\|\alpha\| = |\alpha| (\mathbb{R})$ *denotes the total variation of* α *and* C_2 *is the constant from the van der Corput lemma.*

In this respect we note that if A_j are two such functions then (cf. p. 208 in [1])

$$(A_1 A_2)(k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}kp} d(\alpha_1 * \alpha_2)(p)$$

is associated with the convolution

$$\alpha_1 * \alpha_2(\Omega) = \iint \mathbb{1}_\Omega(x+y) d\alpha_1(x) d\alpha_2(y),$$

where $\mathbb{1}_\Omega$ is the indicator function of a set Ω. Note that

$$\|\alpha_1 * \alpha_2\| \le \|\alpha_1\| \|\alpha_2\|.$$

We also need the following simple fact due to Beurling: If $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, then f is in the Wiener algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}} := \|\hat{f}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \le \sqrt{\pi} \|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{A.1}$$

Appendix B. Bessel functions

Here we collect basic formulas and information on Bessel and Hankel functions (see, e.g., [24, 28]). We start with the definitions:

$$J_\nu(z) = \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^\nu \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(-z^2/4)^n}{n! \Gamma(\nu+n+1)}, \tag{B.1}$$

$$Y_\nu(z) = \frac{J_\nu(z)\cos(\nu\pi) - J_{-\nu}(z)}{\sin(\nu\pi)}, \tag{B.2}$$

$$H_\nu^{(1)}(z) = J_\nu(z) + \mathrm{i} Y_\nu(z), \qquad H_\nu^{(2)}(z) = J_\nu(z) - \mathrm{i} Y_\nu(z). \tag{B.3}$$

Note that the right-hand side in equation (B.2) should be replaced by its limiting value if $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$. Their asymptotic behavior as $|z| \to \infty$ is given by

$$J_\nu(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \Big(\cos(z - \nu\pi/2 - \pi/4) + \mathrm{e}^{|\operatorname{Im} z|} \mathcal{O}(|z|^{-1}) \Big), \quad |\arg z| < \pi, \tag{B.4}$$

$$Y_\nu(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \Big(\sin(z - \nu\pi/2 - \pi/4) + \mathrm{e}^{|\operatorname{Im} z|} \mathcal{O}(|z|^{-1}) \Big), \quad |\arg z| < \pi, \tag{B.5}$$

$$H_\nu^{(1)}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \mathrm{e}^{i(z - \frac{1}{2}\nu\pi - \frac{1}{4}\pi)} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(|z|^{-1})\right), \quad -\pi < \arg z < 2\pi, , \tag{B.6}$$

$$H_\nu^{(2)}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \mathrm{e}^{-i(z - \frac{1}{2}\nu\pi - \frac{1}{4}\pi)} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(|z|^{-1})\right), \quad -2\pi < \arg z < \pi. \tag{B.7}$$

Denote the reminder in (B.4), (B.5) and (B.6) by $j_l(z)$, $y_l(z)$ and $h_l(z)$, respectively. Noting that

$$\mathcal{Y}_\nu'(z) = -\mathcal{Y}_{\nu+1}(z) + \frac{\nu}{z}\mathcal{Y}_\nu(z) = \mathcal{Y}_{\nu-1}(z) - \frac{\nu}{z}\mathcal{Y}_\nu(z), \tag{B.8}$$

one can show that the derivative of the reminder satisfies

$$\left(\sqrt{\frac{\pi z}{2}} J_\nu(z) - \cos(z - \frac{1}{2}\nu\pi - \frac{1}{4}\pi) \right)' = \mathrm{e}^{|\operatorname{Im} z|} \mathcal{O}(|z|^{-1}), \tag{B.9}$$

as $|z| \to \infty$. The same is true for Y_ν, $H_\nu^{(1)}$ and $H_\nu^{(2)}$.

According to [28, formula (VI.12.3)], for real non-zero k

$$H^{(1)}_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(k) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi k}} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(k-\pi(l+1)/2)}}{\Gamma(l+1)} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-t} t^l \left(1 + \frac{\mathrm{i}t}{2k}\right)^l dt, \quad l > -\frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore, the Jost solution of the unperturbed Bessel equation admits the representation

$$h_l(k,x) = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}kx} f_l(k,x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(l+1)} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-t} t^l \left(1 + \frac{\mathrm{i}t}{2kx}\right)^l dt$$

and

$$\partial_k h_l(k,x) = \frac{-\mathrm{i}l}{2\Gamma(l+1)} \frac{1}{k^2 x} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-t} t^{l+1} \left(1 + \frac{\mathrm{i}t}{2kx}\right)^{l-1} dt.$$

The last integral converges absolutely whenever $kx \neq 0$. Indeed, since

$$(a^2+t^2)^{\frac{l-1}{2}} \le C_l(a^{l-1} + t^{l-1}), \quad C_l = \begin{cases} 2^{(l-1)/2}, & l \ge 1, \\ 1, & l \in (-1/2, 1) \end{cases},$$

for all a, $t > 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-t} t^{l+1} \left(1 + \frac{\mathrm{i}t}{2kx}\right)^{l-1} dt \right| &\le \frac{1}{(2|k|x)^{l-1}} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-t} t^{l+1} (4|k|^2 x^2 + t^2)^{\frac{l-1}{2}} dt \\ &\le \frac{C_l}{(2|k|x)^{l-1}} \left(\Gamma(l+2)(2|k|x)^{l-1} + \Gamma(2l+1) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we end up with the following estimate

$$|\partial_k h_l(k,x)| \le \frac{C}{x|k|^2} \left(\frac{1+|k|x}{|k|x} \right)^{l-1}, \tag{B.10}$$

for all $x > 0$ and $k \neq 0$.

Remark B.1. *The estimate* (B.10) *is the best possible. Indeed, if* $l \in \mathbb{N}$, *then*

$$h_l(k,x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(N+1)} \sum_{j=0}^{l} \binom{l}{j} \frac{\mathrm{i}^j \Gamma(l+j+1)}{(2x)^j k^j},$$

and

$$\partial_k h_l(k,x) = \frac{-1}{\Gamma(l+1)} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \binom{l}{j} \frac{\mathrm{i}^j j \Gamma(l+j+1)}{(2x)^j k^{(j+1)}}.$$

Acknowledgments. Part of this work was done while J. H. T. visited the Faculty of Mathematics of the University of Vienna, in the autumn of 2014. He thanks them for their kind hospitality. We also thank the three anonymous referees for their careful reading of our manuscript leading to several improvements. In particular this lead to [15].

References

[1] V. I. Bogachev, *Measure Theory. I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2007.

[2] L. Bruneau, J. Dereziński, and V. Georgescu, *Homogeneous Schrödinger operators on half-line*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **12**, no. 3, 547–590 (2011).

[3] N. Burq, F. Planchon, J. Stalker, and S. Tahvildar-Zadeh, *Strichartz estimates for the wave and Schrödinger equations with the inverse-square potential*, J. Funct. Anal. **203**, 519–549 (2003).

[4] N. Burq, F. Planchon, J. Stalker, and S. Tahvildar-Zadeh, *Strichartz estimates for the wave and Schrödinger equations with potentials of critical decay*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **53**, 1665–1680 (2004).

[5] K. Chadan and P. C. Sabatier, *Inverse Problems in Quantum Scattering Theory*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, 1989.

[6] M. Coz and C. Coudray, *The Riemann solution and the inverse quantum mechanical problem*, J. Math. Phys. **17**, no. 6, 888–893 (1976).

[7] H. W. Diehl and S. B. Rutkevich, *The* $O(n)$ ϕ^4 *model with free surfaces in the large-n limit: some exact results for boundary critical behaviour, fluctuation-induced forces and distant-wall corrections* J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **47**, 145004 (15pp) (2014).

[8] J. Eckhardt, A. Kostenko, and G. Teschl, *Spectral asymptotics for canonical systems*, J. reine und Angew. Math. (to appear), doi: 10.1515/crelle-2015-0034.

[9] I. Egorova, E. Kopylova, and G. Teschl, *Dispersion estimates for one-dimensional discrete Schrödinger and wave equations*, J. Spectr. Theory **5**, 663–696 (2015).

[10] I. Egorova, E. Kopylova, V. Marchenko, and G. Teschl, *Dispersion estimates for one-dimensional Schrödinger and Klein–Gordon equations revisited*, Russian Math. Surveys (to appear), arXiv:1411.0021

[11] L. Faddeev, *The inverse problem in quantum scattering theory*, J. Math. Phys. **4**, 72–104 (1963).

[12] L. Fanelli, V. Felli, M. A. Fontelos, and A. Primo, *Time decay of scaling critical electromagnetic Schrödinger flows*, Comm. Math. Phys. **324**, 1033–1067 (2013).

[13] L. Fanelli, V. Felli, M. A. Fontelos, and A. Primo, *Time decay of scaling invariant electromagnetic Schrödinger equations on the plane*, Comm. Math. Phys. **337**, 1515–1533 (2015).

[14] M. Goldberg and W. Schlag, *Dispersive estimates for Schrödinger operators in dimensions one and three*, Comm. Math. Phys. **251**, 157–178 (2004).

[15] M. Holzleitner, A. Kostenko, and G. Teschl, *Dispersion estimates for spherical Schrödinger equations: The effect of boundary conditions*, arXiv:1601.01638

[16] I. S. Kac, *On the behavior of spectral functions of second-order differential systems*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **106**, 183–186 (1956). [in Russian]

[17] E. Kopylova, *Dispersion estimates for Schrödinger and Klein–Gordon equation*, Russ. Math. Surveys, **65**, no. 1, 95–142 (2010).

[18] A. Kostenko, A. Sakhnovich, and G. Teschl, *Inverse eigenvalue problems for perturbed spherical Schrödinger operators*, Inverse Problems **26**, 105013, 14pp (2010).

[19] A. Kostenko, A. Sakhnovich, and G. Teschl, *Weyl–Titchmarsh theory for Schrödinger operators with strongly singular potentials*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2012**, 1699–1747 (2012).

[20] A. Kostenko and G. Teschl, *On the singular Weyl–Titchmarsh function of perturbed spherical Schrödinger operators*, J. Differential Equations **250**, 3701–3739 (2011).

[21] A. Kostenko and G. Teschl, *Spectral asymptotics for perturbed spherical Schrödinger operators and applications to quantum scattering*, Comm. Math. Phys. **322**, 255–275 (2013).

[22] H. Kovařík and F. Truc, *Schrödinger operators on a half-line with inverse square potentials*, Math. Model. Nat. Phenom. **9**, no. 5, 170–176 (2014).

[23] C. Müller, *Spherical Harmonics*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **17**, Springer, Berlin, 1966.

[24] F. W. J. Olver et al., *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.

[25] W. Schlag, *Dispersive estimates for Schrödinger operators: a survey*, in "Mathematical aspects of nonlinear dispersive equations", 255–285, Ann. Math. Stud. **163**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2007.

[26] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*, Princeton Math. Series **43**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.

[27] G. Teschl, *Mathematical Methods in Quantum Mechanics; With Applications to Schrödinger Operators*, 2nd ed., Amer. Math. Soc., Rhode Island, 2014.

[28] G. N. Watson, *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1944.

[29] R. Weder, *$L^p - L^{\dot{p}}$ estimates for the Schrödinger equation on the line and inverse scattering for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a potential*, J. Funct. Anal. **170**, 37–68 (2000).

[30] R. Weder, *The $L^p - L^{\dot{p}}$ estimates for the Schrödinger equation on the half-line*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **281**, 233–243 (2003).

[31] J. Weidmann, *Spectral Theory of Ordinary Differential Operators*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1258**, Springer, Berlin, 1987.

Faculty of Mathematics, University of Vienna, Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1, 1090 Wien, Austria
E-mail address: `duzer80@gmail.com;Oleksiy.Kostenko@univie.ac.at`
URL: `http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~kostenko/`

Faculty of Mathematics, University of Vienna, Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1, 1090 Wien, Austria, and International Erwin Schrödinger Institute for Mathematical Physics, Boltzmanngasse 9, 1090 Wien, Austria
E-mail address: `Gerald.Teschl@univie.ac.at`
URL: `http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~gerald/`

Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), and Centro de Investigación en Informática para la Ingeniería, Universidad Tecnológica Nacional – Facultad Regional Córdoba, Maestro López s/n, X5016ZAA Córdoba, Argentina
E-mail address: `jtoloza@scdt.frc.utn.edu.ar`